

2023 ACR/EULAR Antiphospholipid Syndrome Classification Criteria

Medha Barbhaiya,^{1*}  Stephane Zuilu,^{2*}  Ray Naden,^{3†} Alison Hendry,⁴ Florian Manneville,⁵ Mary-Carmen Amigo,⁶ Zahir Amoura,⁷ Danieli Andrade,⁸ Laura Andreoli,⁹  Bahar Artim-Esen,¹⁰ Tatsuya Atsumi,¹¹ Tadej Avcin,¹²  Michael H. Belmont,¹³ Maria Laura Bertolaccini,¹⁴ D. Ware Branch,¹⁵ Graziela Carvalheiras,¹⁶ Alessandro Casini,¹⁷ Ricard Cervera,¹⁸ Hannah Cohen,¹⁹ Nathalie Costedoat-Chalumeau,²⁰ Mark Crowther,²¹ Guilherme de Jesus,²²  Aurelien Delluc,²³ Sheetal Desai,²⁴ Maria De Sancho,²⁵ Katrien M. Devreese,²⁶ Reyhan Diz-Kucukkaya,²⁷ Ali Duarte-Garcia,²⁸  Camille Frances,²⁹ David Garcia,³⁰ Jean-Christophe Gris,³¹ Natasha Jordan,³² Rebecca K. Leaf,³³ Nina Kello,³⁴ Jason S. Knight,³⁵ Carl Laskin,³⁶ Alfred I. Lee,³⁷ Kimberly Legault,³⁸ Steve R. Levine,³⁹ Roger A. Levy,⁴⁰ Maarten Limper,⁴¹ Michael D. Lockshin,¹ Karoline Mayer-Pickel,⁴² Jack Musial,⁴³ Pier Luigi Meroni,⁴⁴ Giovanni Orsolini,⁴⁵ Thomas L. Ortel,⁴⁶ Vittorio Pengo,⁴⁷ Michelle Petri,⁴⁸  Guillermo Pons-Estel,⁴⁹  Jose A. Gomez-Puerta,⁵⁰  Quentin Raimboug,⁵¹ Robert Roubey,⁵² Giovanni Sanna,⁵³ Surya V. Seshan,⁵⁴ Savino Sciascia,⁵⁵  Maria G. Tektonidou,⁵⁶  Angela Tincani,¹⁰ Denis Wahl,² Rohan Willis,⁵⁷ Cecile Yelnik,⁵⁸  Catherine Zuilu,⁵⁹ Francis Guillemain,⁵ Karen Costenbader,⁶⁰  and Doruk Erkan,¹ 
on Behalf of the ACR/EULAR APS Classification Criteria Collaborators

ACR 2023 CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA

Entry Criteria^(a)

At least one documented^(b) clinical criterion listed below (domains 1-6)

plus

A positive antiphospholipid antibody (aPL) test

(a lupus anticoagulant test, or moderate-to-high titers of anticardiolipin or anti- β_2 -glycoprotein-I antibodies [IgG or IgM])
within three years^(b) of the clinical criterion



If absent, do not attempt to classify as APS - If present, apply additive criteria



TOTAL SCORE

Classify as Antiphospholipid Syndrome for research purposes if there are
at least 3 points from clinical domains AND at least 3 points from laboratory domains

High Risk VTE : 1 major or 2 minor

Major

Minor

Active malignancy

Active systemic autoimmune disease or IBD

Hospital admission : bed confined > 3 days within 3 months

Active/acute severe infection

Major trauma with fractures or spinal cord injury within 1 month

Central venous catheter

Surgery with general/spinal/epidural anesthesia for >30 min within 3 month

Hormone replacement therapy/IVF

>8 hours travel

BMI >30

Pregnancy or within 6 weeks after delivery

Prolonged immobilization : >3 days

Surgery with general/spinal/epidural anesthesia for <30 min within 3

High Risk CVD : 1 major or 3 moderate

Major

Moderate

Arterial Hypertension >180/110

Arterial Hypertension on treatment or persistent >140/90

CKD egfr < 60 ml/min for 3 months

Current tobacco smoking

Diabetes Mellitus with organ damage

Diabetes Mellitus with no organ damage

Hyperlipidemia total cholesterol >310 mg/dl or LDL >190 mg/dl

Hyperlipidemia on treatment or total cholesterol <310 mg/dl or LDL <190 mg/dl but above normal range

Obesity : BMI > 30

Additive clinical and laboratory criteria^(a)

Do not count a clinical criterion if there is an equally or more likely explanation than APS.
 Within each domain, only count the highest weighted criterion towards the total score.

Clinical domains and criteria		Weight	Weight
D1. Macrovascular (Venous Thromboembolism [VTE])	D2. Macrovascular (Arterial Thrombosis [AT])		
VTE with a high-risk VTE profile^(c)	AT with a high-risk CVD profile^(c)	1	2
VTE without a high-risk VTE profile ^(c)	AT without a high-risk CVD profile ^(c)	3	4
D3. Microvascular	D4. Obstetric		
Suspected (one or more of the following)	≥3 Consecutive pre-fetal (<10w) and/or early fetal (10w 0d -15w 6d) deaths	2	1
Livedo racemosa (exam)	Fetal death (16w 0d – 33w 6d) in the absence of pre-eclampsia (PEC) with severe features or placental insufficiency (PI) with severe features		1
Livedoid vasculopathy lesions (exam)	PEC with severe features (<34w 0d) <u>or</u> PI with severe features (<34w 0d) with/without fetal death		3
Acute/chronic aPL-nephropathy (exam or lab)	PEC with severe features (<34w 0d) <u>and</u> PI with severe features (<34w 0d) with/without fetal death		4
Pulmonary hemorrhage (symptoms and imaging)			
Established (one of more of the following)			
Livedoid vasculopathy (pathology ^(d))			
Acute/chronic aPL-nephropathy (pathology ^(d))			
Pulmonary hemorrhage (BAL or pathology ^(d))			
Myocardial disease (imaging or pathology)			
Adrenal hemorrhage (imaging or pathology)			
D5. Cardiac Valve	D6. Hematology		
Thickening	Thrombocytopenia (lowest 20-130x10 ⁹ /L)	2	2
Vegetation		4	
Laboratory (aPL) domains and criteria ^(e)		Weight	
D7. aPL test by coagulation-based functional assay (lupus anticoagulant test [LAC])	D8. aPL test by solid phase assay (anti-cardiolipin antibody [aCL] ELISA and/or anti-β₂-glycoprotein-I antibody [aβ₂GPI] ELISA [persistent])		
Positive LAC (single – one time)	Moderate or high positive (IgM) (aCL and/or aβ₂GPI)	1	1
Positive LAC (persistent)	Moderate positive (IgG) (aCL and/or aβ ₂ GPI)	5	4
	High positive (IgG) (aCL <u>or</u> aβ ₂ GPI)		5
	High positive (IgG) (aCL <u>and</u> aβ ₂ GPI)		7

- Severe features PEC
- BP > 160/110
 - New onset headache
 - Visual disturbance
 - Pulmonary edema
 - Impaired liver function
 - Renal dysfunction
 - Thrombocytopenia < 1 lac

- Severe features PI :
- Abnormal fetal surveillance test
 - Abnormal doppler flow waveform
 - Severe IUGR
 - Oligohydramnios
 - Maternal vascular malperfusion on placental histology

Moderate : 40-79 units
 High : >80 units

Non uniform, irreversible, broken and assymmetric

New onset hypertension, proteinuria, acute renal failure, microscopic hematuria

Mitral valve
 >4 mm : 20-39 yr age
 >5 mm : >40 year age
 Other valves : >3 mm

Changes from Sapporo criteria



Differences from Sapporo Criteria

- Entry criteria included
- Defined high risk venous thromboembolism and arterial thrombosis
- Included 3 new domains : Microvascular thrombosis, Cardiac valve and thrombocytopenia
- Decreased weightage of pregnancy morbidity : Foetal death at any gestation without severe features of pre eclampsia or placental insufficiency does not qualify as standalone clinical criterion
- Different weightage given to IgM and IgG positivity of antiphospholipid antibodies
- Different weightage for titres of antiphospholipid antibodies
- Decreased sensitivity but increased specificity compared to Sapporo criteria

Table 5. Operating characteristics of the 2023 ACR/EULAR antiphospholipid syndrome (APS) classification criteria versus the revised Sapporo APS classification criteria compared against independent adjudicators' consensus in two distinct validation cohorts

	Validation cohort 1 (n = 278)		Validation cohort 2 (n = 275)	
	2023 ACR/EULAR APS criteria	Revised Sapporo APS criteria	2023 ACR/EULAR APS criteria	Revised Sapporo APS criteria
Criteria met, no. of subjects	83	120	97	143
Specificity (95% CI)	0.99 (0.98–1.00)	0.91 (0.86–0.95)	0.99 (0.97–1.00)	0.86 (0.81–0.92)
Sensitivity (95% CI)	0.83 (0.75–0.90)	1.00 (1.00–1.00)	0.84 (0.77–0.91)	0.99 (0.98–1.00)

95% CI = 95% confidence interval.